

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,424	08/05/2003	Yoshimi Tsujiyama	JCLA11962 7330 EXAMINER	
23900	7590 08/25/2006			
J C PATENTS, INC.			TORRES VELAZQUEZ, NORCA LIZ	
4 VENTURE, SUITE 250 IRVINE, CA 92618			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771 DATE MAILED: 08/25/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		·			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/635,424	TSUJIYAMA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Norca L. Torres-Velazquez	1771			
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sneet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju	<u>ıly 2006</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3-7 and 11-13 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3-7 and 11-13 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicativity documents have been received in Received i	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	»□····-	(0.70, 440)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 13, 2006 has been entered.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-7 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over SISSON (US 4,107,364) in view of COLLIER IV (US 5,260,126).

SISSON discloses a cloth structure comprising at least two types of organic polymer fibers, at least one of which is elastomeric and at least one of which is elongatable but non-elastic. Each of the non-elastic and elastomeric fibers comprises separately melt spun textile denier filaments. The elastomeric filaments comprise approximately 10-90%, by weight, of the cloth. The reference further teaches that the elastomeric fiber comprises polyurethane. (Refer to Abstract; Claims 1-2, 4, 22, 29-31) The reference teaches that at least two separate streams of monofilaments of one or more fiber forming synthetic organic polymers are melt spun through one or more preferably linear dies or spinnerettes from one or more extruders. (Col. 6, lines 42-47) The reference further teaches that additional layers may be incorporated into the cloth. (Col.

Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

14, lines 39-42) Example I of the reference teaches a nonwoven elastic cloth made of polyester filaments of 3.6 denier and polyester type polyurethane polymer fiber forming elastomer filaments of 5.4 denier. (Refer to Col. 15, lines 43-46; Col. 16, line 48; Col. 17, lines 3, 18) While it is not possible for the Examiner to determine the diameter (in microns) of the fibers disclosed by the reference, based on the deniers disclosed the Examiner is able to determine (Bd)/(Ad) as being 5.4/3.6=1.5, which reads on the claimed ratio of no less than the value of 25/18=1.39. Since the reference uses a similar process for producing the fibers, it is the Examiner's interpretation that fibers with the claimed diameters will fall within the micron level values claimed in the present invention.

The Examiner further provides COLLIER IV to provide motivation for the use of micron level diameters in elastic nonwoven webs of fibers.

COLLIER, IV et al. discloses elastic nonwoven webs of fibers. The reference teaches materials suitable for use in applications such as disposable garments. (Col. 1, lines 24-26) The reference teaches that the elastic nonwoven web of fibers may be a web of meltblown fibers or spunbonded fibers. The elastic nonwoven web may also include at least one type of nonelastic fibers, for example nonelastic microfibers, which are distributed within or upon the matrix. If nonelastic fibers are present in the elastic nonwoven web, the elastic nonwoven web may generally include from about 20 percent, by weight, to about 99 percent, by weight, of fibers formed from a styrene-poly (ethylene propylene)-styrene blend and from about 1 percent, by weight to 80 percent, by weight, of the nonelastic fibers. (Col. 5, lines 1-37) On Table 1 of the reference, some physical properties of the styrene-poly(ethylenepropylene)-styrene block copolymer used by the reference are disclosed. (Col. 7) With regards to the average diameter of

Art Unit: 1771

the fibers and the relation of diameters between the elastomeric and nonelastomeric fibers, it is the Examiner's interpretation that the teaching of using micro fibers (of diameters of about 100 microns or less, for example, 0.5-50 microns) reads on the values claimed herein. (Refer to col. 2, lines 28-33)

Although SISSON does not explicitly teach the claimed properties of elongation recovery rate or separation resistance it is reasonable to presume that these properties are inherent to the cloth structure of SISSON. Support for said presumption is found in the use of like materials (i.e. a homogeneous blend of continuous elastomeric filaments and non-elastomeric filaments produced by melt-spun). The burden is upon Applicant to prove otherwise. *In re Fitzgerald* 205 USPQ 594. In addition, the presently claimed property of properties of elongation recovery rate or separation resistance would obviously have been present one the SISSON product is provided. Note In re Best, 195 USPQ at 433, footnote 4 (CCPA 1977) as to the providing of this rejection made above under 35 USC 102.

Since both references are directed to elastic webs, the purpose disclosed by COLLIER IV would have been recognized in the pertinent art of SISSON.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the diameter of the fiber to be within the microfiber diameter range (under 100 microns) with the motivation of providing a material suitable for the construction of disposable garments as disclosed by COLLIER IV (Col. 1, lines 11-25)

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-7 and 11-13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,424 Page 5

Art Unit: 1771

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Norca L. Torres-Velazquez whose telephone number is 571-272-

1484. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:00-5:00 pm and alternate

Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Norca L. Torres-Velazquez

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1771

August 15, 2006